Remaining goods – Decision Tree used by ECHO

When the quantity of remaining goods is higher than 5% of the quantity of the goods of similar type purchased, the following decision tree will be applied. The first question to ask is: **Have the Results been achieved?**

↳ **YES, fully**

↳ *Are the remaining goods parts of a stockpiling result with the objective of reinforcing the emergency/disaster preparedness in third countries?*

  ↳ **Yes**

   ➔ **Rules on stock-piling apply**

↳ *Are the remaining goods part of a business continuity- related result (i.e. avoiding a rupture in the procurement pipeline)?*

  ↳ **Yes**

   ➔ **Transfer**

↳ *Is a no cost extension possible and is increasing the result operationally relevant if none of the two options above valid?*

  ↳ **Yes**

   ➔ **Extend**

  ↳ **No**

   ↳ Has the procurement of the goods been properly planned (bon père de famille)

    ↳ **Yes**

     ➔ **Transfer or donate**

    ↳ **No**

     ➔ **Disallow for current action**

↳ **YES, partly:**

↳ *Was the needs-assessment done correctly, and was the partner sufficiently diligent in its procurement planning, in light of the operation context (e.g. complex crisis, regional contract, emergency response for disasters not known with precision at the time of the proposal, lengthy procurement process etc.-?*

  ↳ No

   ➔ **Disallow**

  ↳ Yes

   ↳ Examine whether the remaining goods result from one of the **3 cases** below:

    1- Needs changed (part of the results have been achieved, but due to changes in the crisis set-up, some of the needs have changed)

     ↳ No

      ➔ **Disallow**

     ↳ Yes

      ↳ Is a not cost extension possible, assuming that the goods are still relevant to the new needs?

       ➔ **Yes - extend**

       ➔ **No – Transfer or donation**

        ↳ Is there a follow-up action for which goods would be relevant?

         ➔ **Yes –> Transfer**

         ➔ **No - > donation**

    2 Problems of access

     ↳ No

      ➔ **Disallow**

     ↳ Yes

      ↳ Is a no- cost extension possible or pertinent, assuming that the goods are still relevant?

       ➔ **Yes - extend**

       ➔ **No – transfer or donation**

        ↳ Is there a follow-up action for which goods would be relevant?

         ➔ **Yes –> Transfer**

         ➔ **No - > donation or disallowances**

    3 Other unforeseeable event

     ↳ No

      ➔ **Disallow**

     ↳ Yes

      ↳ Is a not cost extension possible or pertinent, assuming that the goods are still relevant?

       ➔ **Yes - extend**

       ➔ **No – transfer or donation**

        ↳ Is there a follow-up action for which goods would be relevant?

         ➔ **Yes –> Transfer**

         ➔ **No - > donation or disallowances**

↳ **NO – results not achieved**

↳ *Is a no cost extension feasible which would allow the partner achieving the results?*

  ↳ Yes

   ➔ **Extend and use the goods**

  ↳ No

   ➔ **Disallow**